```
Page 1
 1
     IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE TWENTIETH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
               IN AND FOR CHARLOTTE COUNTY, FLORIDA
 2
                      CASE NO.: 97-000351-F
 3
 4
    STATE OF FLORIDA,
 5
                  Plaintiff,
 6
    VS.
 7
    JAMES DENNIS FORD,
 8
                  Defendant.
 9
10
                   TELEPHONIC PROCEEDINGS BEFORE
11
                   THE HONORABLE LISA S. PORTER
                  **Case Management Conference**
12
13
    DATE:
                       Monday, January 13, 2025
14
    TIME:
                       3:31 p.m. - 3:55 p.m.
15
    LOCATION:
                       All Parties via Telephone
16
    REPORTER:
                       Jennifer Little
                       Court Reporter
17
                       Reported by Stenographic Means
18
19
20
2.1
22
23
24
25
```

NAPLES COURT REPORTING

& & R V I C E S

		Page 2
1	APPEARANCES:	
2	CHRISTINA PACHECO, Esquire Office of the Attorney General	
3	Concourse Center 4 3507 East Frontage Road, Suite 200 Tampa, Florida 33607 813-287-7910	
4		
5	christina.pacheco@myfloridalegal.com	
6	On behalf of the State of Florida	
7		
8	BIANCA BENTLEY, Esquire State Attorney's Office	
	2000 Main Street, Floor 6	
9	Fort Myers, Florida 33901 239-533-1000	
10	bbentley@sao20.org	
11	On behalf of the State of Florida	
12	CUDICETNA DODDELIO E '	
13	CHRISTINA PORRELLO, Esquire Florida Department of Corrections	
14	501 South Calhoun Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399	
	850-354-2804	
15	christina.porrello@fdc.myflorida.com	
16	On behalf of the Florida Department of Corrections	
17		
18	ALI SHAKOOR, Esquire ADRIENNE SHEPHERD, Esquire Capital Collateral Regional Counsel 12973 North Telecom Parkway Temple Terrace, Florida 33637 813-558-1600 x643 shakoor@ccmr.state.fl.us shepherd@ccmr.state.fl.us On behalf of the Defendant, James Dennis F	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		ord'
24		
25	* * * * *	



PROCEEDINGS

THE COURT: We are here in Case Number 97-351-F, State of Florida versus James Dennis Ford.

Is the court reporter on the line?

THE COURT REPORTER: Yes, ma'am. Good afternoon.

THE COURT: What's your name, please?
THE COURT REPORTER: My name is

Jennifer Little, and I'm with Naples Court Reporting.

THE COURT: Thank you.

THE COURT REPORTER: You're welcome.

THE COURT: Can I get the appearances on the record for everyone else and what agency you're with.

MS. BENTLEY: Bianca Bentley for the State Attorney's office.

MS. PACHECO: This is Christina Pacheco with the Attorney General's office.

MR. SHAKOOR: Judge, this is Ali Shakoor with CCRC Middle Region. When you joined the call, Ms. Pacheco and I were just discussing some scheduling matters. But I'm with CCRC Middle Region. I'm appearing on behalf of Mr. Ford. My

colleague, Adrienne Shepherd, is also on the call today, but I'll be handling the proceedings for Mr. Ford today.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

Anyone else on the call?

MS. PORRELLO: Yes. Good afternoon, Your Honor. My name is Christina Porrello, and I'm with the Florida Department of Corrections.

THE COURT: Thank you. And anyone else?

Okay. All right. So we are here on our first case management conference pursuant to the death warrant signed by the governor last Friday, January 10th, and the Supreme Court's scheduling order also issued on January 10th.

Is there any additional discovery the defense intends on seeking?

MR. SHAKOOR: Judge, we're going to be filing demands. At this stage of the proceedings, it's customary for us to file all demands for additional records from certain agencies. We have no objection for the State's request for that to be filed by tomorrow. So as far as discovery, we'll just be looking for some additional evidence per our demand. I'll tell you now that will be more than likely FDOC, FDLE, Medical Examiner 8. There

might be one more that I'm forgetting, but there may be three or four demands.

Also, a motion to exceed page limits. I know that's not a discovery issue, but we are -- we'll be looking to file a motion to exceed our page limits by just ten additional pages, 35 pages instead of 25.

THE COURT: Can we deal with that one now?

MR. SHAKOOR: Pardon me, Judge?

THE COURT: Can we deal with that one now, the extended -- the extended page limit?

MR. SHAKOOR: Sure, Judge. Absolutely. Sure. Absolutely, Judge. I can tell you that, at this posture of the case, we're filing a successive pleading. So we have a lot of pleading requirements that was going to give up a lot of our pages at the beginning of the document.

Just to give the case history, Mr. Ford does have a complicated case history. We inherited the case from the late Marty McClain, who passed away. So it's going to take us some pages just to lay out the complicated procedural history on this case. So we're only seeking ten additional pages, which I think is customary for this stage of the proceedings.

THE COURT: Okay. What's the State's response on that? Or the Attorney General.

MS. PACHECO: Your Honor, we don't believe that the additional pages are necessary. However, if Your Honor is inclined to grant them that, then we would just ask that we also be given the extended -- the leeway for our response to address the additional pages to have that same amount to -- added to our answer.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. I will grant the request for the extension of pages by ten for both sides.

MS. PACHECO: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So I know the first part is the public records deadline; is that right? And I can follow the State's -- or the Attorney General's request on most of these or at least pretty close thereto. And I didn't -- so before we go through that, there is also -- the inmate records need to go postconviction counsel.

Is that a date that we need to put in the scheduling order?

MS. PORRELLO: Your Honor, Christina Porrello, for the record, on behalf of the Florida Department of Corrections. Yes, I believe in the -- in the

2.

order -- the proposed order that the State has or that -- the timeline of Wednesday afternoon for the production of the public records from our agency would be complete. We are already on -- we are already on task with producing the records. I believe that that would be sufficient for -- for us.

THE COURT: Okay. Great. All right. So the defendant is going to file for any additional public records requests by January 14th at 12:00.

That's acceptable?

MR. SHAKOOR: January 14th at 12:00. Yes, we can do that, Judge.

THE COURT: Okay. I might have moved up some of this time just because, you know, the time constraints that are upon all of us, and then the -- any objection from agencies involved, Wednesday, January 15th at 12 p.m.?

MR. PORRELLO: No objection from the Department of Corrections.

THE COURT: How about if I do it this way so we can get everyone's answers down by the court reporter so she doesn't pull out her hair. If you do have an objection, say something. If you don't have an objection, you can stay silent, and I will

take that as no objection. Okay? All right. It works.

If there's a public records hearing necessary, is January 15th at 4:00 okay? And that would be the same way we're doing it now, a conference call. All right. I'm not hearing any objections. So --

MS. PORRELLO: Your Honor, can you repeat the time?

THE COURT: At 4 p.m.

2.

MS. PORRELLO: 4 p.m. on the 15th?

THE COURT: Correct.

MS. PORRELLO: That's fine. Thank you.

THE COURT: And then the order would be due by me on January 16th at 10 a.m., and then compliance by January 17th at 10 a.m. Not hearing an objection. All right.

The successive postconviction motion, that will be filed by the defendant, is Saturday, January 18th at 3 p.m. acceptable?

MR. SHAKOOR: Your Honor, we do object to that. That would be our only objection. We have no issue with the public records demands being moved up. You know, we're working ahead on that. But we're hiring experts right now. We're in the process of gathering information and trying to

schedule visits with our client and with particularly one of the experts.

So our only pushback would be we'd want all of Saturday, please, to complete our 3.851 motion -- or complete our initial successor 3.851 motion. So if we could, please, ask that that be due on Sunday at noon, that would be more than enough time.

And the State -- I would say the State only needs 24 hours to respond to the 3.851 motion just because of the posture, where we are with this case, I don't think it's going to be too complex for the State to have to come up with very intricate responses to our pleading. You know, we're in a tough position when it comes to procedural bar and precedent. But I don't think the State is going to need 48 hours. I think 24 hours will be more than sufficient for the State.

So our only challenge -- our only objection to this whole proposed order would be, Judge, we would request an additional 24 hours on that initial 3.851 motion.

THE COURT: All right. So you're wanting Sunday by what time?

MR. SHAKOOR: Yes, ma'am, preferably. By noon, Judge.

THE COURT: Okay. Does the State have a burning objection on that?

MS. PACHECO: Yes, Your Honor. This is
Christina Pacheco from the Attorney General's
office. This -- this timeframe is pretty standard.
I think the concern is, you know, it's very easy
for Mr. Shakoor to say that we need 24 hours or
less to respond. But the truth of the matter is he
can be working on this, and I'm sure he already is
working on his successive motion now. So he has,
you know, the entire week to draft it. We can't
respond until we see what his claims are. So less
than 24 hours to get a motion and respond to it
and, you know, have the manpower to do so and our
staff on the weekend is a lot.

So I would say if the Court is inclined to grant additional time, it should be minimal. I think even noon is later than necessary. Like I said -- and I looked at -- you know, this is a form that we have. I looked at past forms, and I've been involved with them it, and they've always been due on the Saturday even when there's been more, you know, additional time involved.

So I realize it's a very tight timeframe, but I would ask if the Court's inclined to grant it,

2.

that we be given a little bit more than 24 hours to respond.

THE COURT: All right. Let's just do it this way so you can work all night long. Sunday,

January 20th at 9 a.m. is when that postconviction motion is done -- due.

MR. SHAKOOR: Thank you.

THE COURT: Then the State's answer would be due on the 21st by 9 a.m.

MR. SHAKOOR: That's fair, Judge.

THE COURT: Not the -- I'm sorry. Not the 21st, the 20th. Because my intention is to schedule the Huff hearing on Tuesday, January 21st at 10:30.

Is there any objection to that? Is that what you have? That is what the Attorney General's office also suggested. So I don't hear any objections to that.

And then an order on that hearing will be done by January 21st at 3:00, and then -- I didn't -- the evidentiary hearing, if it's needed, would be January 23rd at 10:30. And then, of course, as is required from the Supreme Court, the final written order will be due by 11 a.m. on January 24th.

And my only question is, is the clerk of

1 | courts on the record on appeal including all lawyer

filings and complete transcripts by January 27th at

3 p.m.? Is that authorized under the order? I

know my order says due by January 24th. But I

happened to look at a different case, and it had a

clerk's requirement on the same day as mine.

Ms. Pacheco, can you speak to that?

MS. PACHECO: I'm sorry. I'm just checking

9 | now to make sure that wasn't an oversight on my

part. I apologize, Your Honor. It will just be a

11 | moment here.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

12

14

15

16

17

20

21

23

24

25

I have the -- the record on appeal shall be

13 | filed by 3 p.m., January 27th.

THE COURT: Right. And --

MS. BENTLEY: I thought everything on our end

was supposed to be done by the 24th.

Is that not true?

18 MS. PACHECO: So I -- yes, in terms of Your

19 | Honor's order, it's to be entered and filed by

January 24th, but then the court reporter and the

clerk of the court shall submit the appellate

22 record by Monday.

MS. BENTLEY: Okay.

MS. PACHECO: So basically the court reporter

will have to have everything filed so that way the

clerk can transmit the record on Monday, if that makes sense.

THE COURT: It does. Like I said, I was looking at another file, and it had those two on the same day. So I just want to make sure that we're not overstepping our bounds.

Let me ask the defense, Mr. Shakoor, if you're intending on seeking an evidentiary hearing?

MR. SHAKOOR: Yes, Judge, we are intending on seeking an evidentiary hearing.

For the Huff hearing, just to double back a little bit, or the eventual case management conference, will be that via Teams? It might be easier if we're going to be having intricate legal arguments if we can see each other a little bit.

My office can set up a Microsoft Teams if Zoom is not available at your location. But beyond that, yes, we are seeking an evidentiary hearing, and we can appear in person for that.

THE COURT: What does everyone else believe?

Did you say the defendant is going to be

present? Are we transporting him if it does come
to that?

MR. SHAKOOR: I want to say yes for now,

Judge. He might later waive that, but I don't want

to waive that right now. I want to say yes for now.

MR. PORRELLO: This is Christina Porrello from the Department of Corrections. I would -- I would agree with Mr. Shakoor as to holding it via Teams or Zoom rather than telephonically. And additionally, if we could hold the public records hearing on the 15th at 4 p.m., if we could have that hearing also via Teams or Zoom, I think that that would be helpful because there will be additional legal argument at that hearing as well.

It's my understanding that, for the Huff hearing, that the defendant is not present. But for the evidentiary hearing, yes, that that would be the case. Please correct me if I'm wrong, but that's my understanding.

And to that end, our agency would transport.

I know down to Charlotte County is certainly quite a haul. So our department does need to route out, you know, a course of action for this. So thank you for setting that hearing, and we'll be able to do that. But if it was being contemplated -- can someone let me know if it's being contemplated that he wants to be present on the 21st as well at the Huff hearing?

THE COURT: No, no. Only if there's an evidentiary hearing would he be transported. just want to make sure there's no problem transporting him. How much notice do you need? Obviously, it's only going to be, what, two days. That's enough? MS. PORRELLO: Right. That's sufficient. Ι will put the Florida State Prison on notice now so

that way they can start mapping out their plan. THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything else that

we need to go over as far as for our scheduling?

MS. PACHECO: Your Honor, this is Christina Pacheco again. I just wanted to make sure I had the right date for the State's answer. It was Monday, January 20th by 9 a.m.?

THE COURT: Yes.

MS. PACHECO: Okay. Thank you.

THE COURT: Wait a minute. January --Saturday is...

I think I might have misspoke. So Saturday is actually the 18th. So if anyone wrote down the 19th, it's supposed to be the 18th, and then Sunday is the 19th. Everyone clear? So the motion, it needs to be filed by Sunday -- Satur- -- Sunday, January -- I am so sorry, you all. Sunday, the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

19th at 9 a.m., and then the answer is January 20th at 9 a.m. Yes.

Is that what everyone has?

MR. SHAKOOR: Yes, Judge.

2.

2.1

THE COURT: Okay. We're forgoing the Saturday date. All right. So let me ask specifically, does the Attorney General's office have anything else that we need to discuss in this case management conference?

MS. PACHECO: Your Honor, I would just ask is it possible -- since the Huff hearing will not be until January 21st, is it possible that the State be given a little bit later of a deadline on Monday so we have a little bit more than 24 hours? To maybe even, like, noon would help on January 20th.

MR. SHAKOOR: No objection at all, Judge.

THE COURT: Okay. That's fine then.

As far as the Huff hearing and the evidentiary hearing -- not the evidentiary hearing, but the Huff hearing, I don't have Teams. All I have is Zoom.

Does everyone have Zoom? Can get in?

MS. BENTLEY: Yes.

MR. SHAKOOR: Yes, Judge.

THE COURT: All right. We can use my Zoom



information, which can be found on my website.

Is anyone going to be appearing in person for any of these hearings?

MS. PACHECO: Christina Pacheco again. I -- if scheduling permits, I would like to be in person for the Huff hearing on the 21st.

THE COURT: Okay. So for the record, our hearing will be held in Courtroom 4D at the Charlotte County Justice Center. And my Zoom information is as follows. It has no password, just the ID. It's 8443646930.

MR. SHAKOOR: Judge, just to clarify, the defense will only be appearing in person if we're granted an evidentiary hearing. I know Ms. Pacheco just mentioned that she'll be, hopefully, at the Huff hearing in person, but we plan on appearing virtually for all the hearings unless they're in-person evidentiary hearings.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SHAKOOR: Thank you, Judge.

THE COURT: So then over to Mr. Shakoor. Do you have any other matters that we need to discuss prior to ending our call today?

MR. SHAKOOR: I don't think so, Judge. If your JA can just please send out the Zoom

1 | information because we're trying to take notes and,

2 | you know, talk at the same time. If that could be

3 | sent out before the next hearing date. Other than

4 | that, I think we're all ready to go.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Okay. I'll send out an invite for that.

MS. PORRELLO: Your Honor, this is Christina Porrello. Could we also have the public records hearing on the 15th be via Zoom?

THE COURT: We can. No one's appearing in person for that; correct? All right.

Ms. Bentley, anything from you, from the State?

MS. BENTLEY: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Then I will prepare this scheduling order right now, get it out to everyone as is required, and I will see everyone or hear everyone on -- let me ask you this. If you're not going to be here in person, it's going to be strictly by Zoom.

So no one's going to appear by phone; correct?

MR. SHAKOOR: Correct.

THE COURT: I'll see everyone January 15th at 4:00. Anything further?

MS. PACHECO: Thank you, Your Honor.

```
Page 19
          MR. SHAKOOR: Not on behalf of Mr. Ford,
 1
2
     Judge. Thank you.
3
          THE COURT: All right. Thank you all. Have a
 4
     good evening.
 5
          (The proceedings were concluded at 3:55 p.m.)
 6
 7
 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```



Page 20 CERTIFICATE 1 2 STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF MARION 3 I, Jennifer Little, Court Reporter and Notary 4 5 Public, hereby certify that I was authorized to and did 6 stenographically report the foregoing telephonic 7 proceedings in the above-styled cause before the HONORABLE LISA S. PORTER; and that the transcript, 8 9 pages 3 through 19, is a true record of the foregoing 10 proceedings. 11 I further certify that I am not a relative, employee, attorney, or counsel of any of the parties, 12 13 nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' 14 attorneys or counsel connected with the action, nor am 15 I financially interested in the action. 16 Dated this 13th day of January, 2025. 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 Jennifer Little 24 Jennifer Little 25

